

## 2-Methylpropan-2-aminium 4-hydroxybenzoate

**Shu-Lan Yu**

Chemistry Engineering Department, Weifang Vocational College, Weifang 261000, People's Republic of China  
Correspondence e-mail: crystal\_wf@163.com


Received 10 June 2010; accepted 12 June 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293\text{ K}$ ; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003\text{ \AA}$ ;  $R$  factor = 0.057;  $wR$  factor = 0.168; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.0.

In the crystal of the title molecular salt,  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_{12}\text{N}^+\cdot\text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{O}_3^-$ , the cation is linked to three nearby anions by  $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonds. An  $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bond between anions further consolidates the packing.

### Related literature

For a related structure, see: Scholz & Gorls (2002).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

 $M_r = 211.26$ 

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$   
 $a = 6.8300 (14)\text{ \AA}$   
 $b = 9.2790 (19)\text{ \AA}$   
 $c = 19.831 (4)\text{ \AA}$   
 $\beta = 99.58 (3)^\circ$   
 $V = 1239.3 (4)\text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 4$   
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 0.08\text{ mm}^{-1}$   
 $T = 293\text{ K}$   
 $0.10 \times 0.09 \times 0.08\text{ mm}$

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD  
diffractometer  
2899 measured reflections

2677 independent reflections  
1804 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.057$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.168$   
 $S = 1.04$   
2677 reflections  
149 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of  
independent and constrained  
refinement  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.22\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.29\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

**Table 1**  
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ ).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
O3—H3B $\cdots$ O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.82	1.83	2.621 (2)	163
N1—H1 $\cdots$ O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.92 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.835 (2)	168.2 (18)
N1—H3 $\cdots$ O2	0.94 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.842 (2)	162.2 (18)
N1—H2 $\cdots$ O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.87 (2)	1.92 (3)	2.796 (2)	174.7 (19)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1$ ; (iii)  $x + 1, y, z$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5493).

### References

- Bruker (2003). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.  
Scholz, J. & Gorls, H. (2002). *Polyhedron*, **21**, 305–312.  
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.

## **supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2010). E66, o1706 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810022592]

## 2-Methylpropan-2-aminium 4-hydroxybenzoate

S.-L. Yu

### Experimental

A mixture of 2-methylpropan-2-amine(0.02 mol) and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (0.02 mol) was stirred in ethanol (30 ml) at 353 K for 3 h to afford the title compound (yield 50%). Colourless bars of (I) were obtained by recrystallization from acetone at room temperature.

### Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with N—H and C—H distances of 0.86 and 0.93–0.96 Å, respectively, and with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}$  of the parent atoms.

### Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.

## 2-Methylpropan-2-aminium 4-hydroxybenzoate

### Crystal data

$\text{C}_4\text{H}_{12}\text{N}^+\cdot\text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{O}_3^-$	$F(000) = 456$
$M_r = 211.26$	$D_x = 1.132 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Cell parameters from 1804 reflections
$a = 6.8300 (14) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.1\text{--}27.0^\circ$
$b = 9.2790 (19) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 19.831 (4) \text{ \AA}$	$T = 293 \text{ K}$
$\beta = 99.58 (3)^\circ$	Bar, colorless
$V = 1239.3 (4) \text{ \AA}^3$	$0.10 \times 0.09 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$
$Z = 4$	

### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	1804 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
---------------------------------	--

# supplementary materials

---

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$
graphite	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.0^\circ, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.1^\circ$
phi and $\omega$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 8$
2899 measured reflections	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$
2677 independent reflections	$l = -23 \rightarrow 23$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.057$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.168$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0928P)^2 + 0.1735P]$
$S = 1.04$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2677 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
149 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.22 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.29 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kF_c[1 + 0.001xF_c^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
	Extinction coefficient: 0.59 (3)

## Special details

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

## Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.1770 (3)	0.81042 (19)	0.40216 (9)	0.0475 (4)
C2	0.2054 (2)	0.70697 (18)	0.34696 (8)	0.0435 (4)
C3	0.3911 (3)	0.6882 (2)	0.32850 (10)	0.0618 (6)
H3A	0.4999	0.7372	0.3523	0.074*
C4	0.4170 (3)	0.5976 (3)	0.27512 (12)	0.0728 (7)
H4A	0.5423	0.5872	0.2632	0.087*
C5	0.2577 (3)	0.5225 (2)	0.23940 (10)	0.0571 (5)
C6	0.0722 (3)	0.5367 (2)	0.25849 (10)	0.0558 (5)
H6A	-0.0351	0.4843	0.2359	0.067*
C7	0.0470 (2)	0.6290 (2)	0.31113 (9)	0.0513 (5)

H7A	-0.0785	0.6393	0.3229	0.062*
O1	0.00062 (19)	0.84869 (15)	0.40644 (6)	0.0606 (4)
O2	0.3246 (2)	0.85859 (16)	0.44198 (7)	0.0667 (5)
O3	0.2922 (2)	0.4377 (2)	0.18682 (9)	0.0863 (6)
H3B	0.1865	0.4187	0.1622	0.129*
C8	0.7450 (4)	0.6075 (3)	0.50722 (16)	0.0949 (9)
H8A	0.6109	0.6040	0.4832	0.142*
H8B	0.7693	0.5259	0.5372	0.142*
H8C	0.8352	0.6053	0.4749	0.142*
C9	0.6313 (5)	0.7601 (4)	0.59955 (17)	0.1178 (12)
H9A	0.6486	0.8522	0.6218	0.177*
H9B	0.6563	0.6850	0.6331	0.177*
H9C	0.4977	0.7520	0.5754	0.177*
C10	0.9911 (4)	0.7600 (3)	0.58411 (13)	0.0806 (7)
H10A	1.0103	0.8519	0.6065	0.121*
H10B	1.0772	0.7522	0.5507	0.121*
H10C	1.0213	0.6845	0.6173	0.121*
C11	0.7764 (3)	0.7461 (2)	0.54907 (11)	0.0632 (6)
N1	0.7346 (2)	0.86993 (18)	0.49897 (8)	0.0483 (4)
H1	0.734 (3)	0.958 (2)	0.5202 (10)	0.058*
H2	0.815 (3)	0.869 (2)	0.4690 (12)	0.058*
H3	0.608 (3)	0.859 (2)	0.4718 (10)	0.058*

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0461 (10)	0.0530 (10)	0.0442 (8)	0.0012 (8)	0.0095 (7)	0.0012 (7)
C2	0.0394 (9)	0.0475 (9)	0.0439 (8)	-0.0014 (7)	0.0077 (7)	0.0004 (7)
C3	0.0401 (10)	0.0804 (13)	0.0662 (11)	-0.0155 (9)	0.0120 (8)	-0.0224 (10)
C4	0.0406 (10)	0.1022 (17)	0.0781 (14)	-0.0061 (10)	0.0176 (9)	-0.0322 (12)
C5	0.0449 (10)	0.0678 (12)	0.0576 (10)	0.0055 (8)	0.0055 (8)	-0.0158 (9)
C6	0.0394 (9)	0.0673 (12)	0.0583 (10)	-0.0050 (8)	0.0008 (7)	-0.0132 (9)
C7	0.0356 (8)	0.0661 (11)	0.0523 (9)	-0.0023 (8)	0.0070 (7)	-0.0036 (8)
O1	0.0513 (8)	0.0813 (10)	0.0505 (7)	0.0161 (7)	0.0122 (6)	-0.0023 (6)
O2	0.0521 (8)	0.0788 (10)	0.0674 (9)	-0.0015 (7)	0.0051 (6)	-0.0267 (7)
O3	0.0537 (8)	0.1141 (13)	0.0890 (11)	0.0104 (8)	0.0058 (7)	-0.0525 (10)
C8	0.0918 (18)	0.0576 (14)	0.127 (2)	-0.0112 (12)	-0.0065 (16)	0.0126 (14)
C9	0.098 (2)	0.161 (3)	0.107 (2)	0.013 (2)	0.0542 (18)	0.056 (2)
C10	0.0671 (14)	0.0876 (16)	0.0802 (15)	0.0001 (12)	-0.0078 (12)	0.0154 (13)
C11	0.0545 (11)	0.0673 (12)	0.0678 (12)	-0.0024 (10)	0.0105 (9)	0.0151 (10)
N1	0.0421 (8)	0.0528 (9)	0.0510 (8)	-0.0009 (7)	0.0108 (7)	-0.0036 (7)

*Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )*

C1—O2	1.255 (2)	C8—H8A	0.9600
C1—O1	1.272 (2)	C8—H8B	0.9600
C1—C2	1.493 (2)	C8—H8C	0.9600
C2—C3	1.388 (2)	C9—C11	1.527 (3)
C2—C7	1.394 (2)	C9—H9A	0.9600

## supplementary materials

---

C3—C4	1.386 (3)	C9—H9B	0.9600
C3—H3A	0.9300	C9—H9C	0.9600
C4—C5	1.384 (3)	C10—C11	1.520 (3)
C4—H4A	0.9300	C10—H10A	0.9600
C5—O3	1.358 (2)	C10—H10B	0.9600
C5—C6	1.388 (3)	C10—H10C	0.9600
C6—C7	1.383 (3)	C11—N1	1.515 (2)
C6—H6A	0.9300	N1—H1	0.92 (2)
C7—H7A	0.9300	N1—H2	0.87 (2)
O3—H3B	0.8200	N1—H3	0.94 (2)
C8—C11	1.527 (3)		
O2—C1—O1	121.95 (16)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
O2—C1—C2	120.11 (16)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
O1—C1—C2	117.93 (15)	C11—C9—H9A	109.5
C3—C2—C7	117.80 (15)	C11—C9—H9B	109.5
C3—C2—C1	120.66 (15)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
C7—C2—C1	121.53 (15)	C11—C9—H9C	109.5
C4—C3—C2	121.05 (17)	H9A—C9—H9C	109.5
C4—C3—H3A	119.5	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
C2—C3—H3A	119.5	C11—C10—H10A	109.5
C5—C4—C3	120.47 (18)	C11—C10—H10B	109.5
C5—C4—H4A	119.8	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
C3—C4—H4A	119.8	C11—C10—H10C	109.5
O3—C5—C4	117.57 (17)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5
O3—C5—C6	123.22 (17)	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5
C4—C5—C6	119.21 (17)	N1—C11—C10	107.33 (16)
C7—C6—C5	119.93 (16)	N1—C11—C8	106.78 (18)
C7—C6—H6A	120.0	C10—C11—C8	110.92 (19)
C5—C6—H6A	120.0	N1—C11—C9	107.08 (19)
C6—C7—C2	121.50 (16)	C10—C11—C9	112.0 (2)
C6—C7—H7A	119.3	C8—C11—C9	112.4 (2)
C2—C7—H7A	119.3	C11—N1—H1	113.0 (13)
C5—O3—H3B	109.5	C11—N1—H2	111.4 (13)
C11—C8—H8A	109.5	H1—N1—H2	111.9 (19)
C11—C8—H8B	109.5	C11—N1—H3	110.4 (12)
H8A—C8—H8B	109.5	H1—N1—H3	106.4 (18)
C11—C8—H8C	109.5	H2—N1—H3	103.3 (18)

### Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

$D—\text{H}\cdots A$	$D—\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D—\text{H}\cdots A$
O3—H3B $\cdots$ O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.82	1.83	2.621 (2)	163
N1—H1 $\cdots$ O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.92 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.835 (2)	168.2 (18)
N1—H3 $\cdots$ O2	0.94 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.842 (2)	162.2 (18)
N1—H2 $\cdots$ O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.87 (2)	1.92 (3)	2.796 (2)	174.7 (19)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, y-1/2, -z+1/2$ ; (ii)  $-x+1, -y+2, -z+1$ ; (iii)  $x+1, y, z$ .

Fig. 1

